

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,119	02/01/2001	Jason Alan Clegg	ROC920000255	5552	
75	590 12/30/2004		EXAM	INER	
Gero G. McClellan			SHRADER, LAWRENCE J		
Thomason, Mo	ser & Patterson, L.L.P.				
Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3040 Post Oak Boulevard			2124		
Houston, TX 77056-6582			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/775,119	CLEGG ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lawrence Shrader	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the corr spond nc address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) Let they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22 and 24.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examination						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other: TODD INGBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER						
		1 6 201000				
	•					

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The following amendments will require further searching and consideration:

Claim 1:

"writing an address of a memory location..." and "updating the contents of the memory location..."

Claim 19:

"at least one address register accessible to an operating system running on the complex processing system;" and "configured to read from and write to a memory location specified by a value stored n the address register by the operating system..."

Claim 21:

"... as indicated by access to the address register."

Claim 24:

"... assist logic module...in response to the processor writing, to the address register, an address of a memory location that has not been updated since the address was previously written to the address register..."

TODD INGBERG
PRIMARY EXAMINER